

TIM JOHNSON, SOUTH DAKOTA, CHAIRMAN

JACK REED, RHODE ISLAND  
CHARLES E. SCHUMER, NEW YORK  
ROBERT MENENDEZ, NEW JERSEY  
DANIEL K. AKAKA, HAWAII  
SHERROD BROWN, OHIO  
JON TESTER, MONTANA  
HERB KOHL, WISCONSIN  
MARK WARNER, VIRGINIA  
JEFF MERKLEY, OREGON  
MICHAEL BENNET, COLORADO  
KAY HAGAN, NORTH CAROLINA

RICHARD C. SHELBY, ALABAMA  
MICHAEL CRAPO, IDAHO  
BOB CORKER, TENNESSEE  
JIM DEMINT, SOUTH CAROLINA  
DAVID VITTER, LOUISIANA  
MIKE JOHANNIS, NEBRASKA  
PATRICK J. TOOMEY, PENNSYLVANIA  
MARK KIRK, ILLINOIS  
JERRY MORAN, KANSAS  
ROGER F. WICKER, MISSISSIPPI

DWIGHT FETTIG, STAFF DIRECTOR  
WILLIAM D. DUHNKE, REPUBLICAN STAFF DIRECTOR

## United States Senate

COMMITTEE ON BANKING, HOUSING, AND  
URBAN AFFAIRS

WASHINGTON, DC 20510-6075

July 12, 2011

The Honorable Ben Bernanke  
Chairman  
Board of the Governors of  
the Federal Reserve System  
20<sup>th</sup> Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, DC 20551

Mr. Richard A. Peterson  
Deputy Director  
and Acting Director  
United States Mint  
801 9th Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20220

Dear Chairman Bernanke and Acting Director Peterson:

As you know, the Federal Reserve Board's Annual Report on the Presidential \$1 Coin program was submitted to the Senate Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs on June 28, 2011. The Committee appreciates the efforts undertaken to objectively assess the program and provide us with timely and relevant information. However, the concerns raised in this report with regards to excess dollar coin inventories are troubling, and as such we are requesting additional information to better ascertain any ongoing problems and explore potential improvements to the program.

As mentioned in the report, Reserve banks are currently holding approximately \$1.2 billion in dollar coins, and this is expected to increase to over \$2 billion by the time the program ends. The report also indicates that "depository institutions have redeposited roughly 40 percent of all Presidential \$1 Coins issued." Further, the report recommends that Congress remove a provision of the Presidential \$1 Coin Act of 2005 requiring the Fed to make each new Presidential \$1 Coin design issued available in unmixed supplies to any institution during an introductory period, adding: "[excess \$1 coin] inventory growth, in large part, is because of the introduction period requirement."

We would appreciate more information on the following issues:

- Please list and describe all contributing factors (e.g. requests for coins from the general public, trends in coin usage, production requirements, etc.) that may explain why there's an excessive and growing supply of Presidential \$1 Coins.
- What are the annual costs, directly or indirectly, to taxpayers in carrying out the Presidential \$1 Coin program?
- Does the Fed or the Mint currently have existing authority to lower the production levels and thereby curtail the growing inventories of unused dollar coins? Since Congress has not mandated a specific introductory period, could a shorter timeframe help reduce the excessive inventory growth?

- If Congress were to remove the introductory period requirement, how would it affect supply and demand for Presidential \$1 Coins? By how much would projected excess dollar coin inventories change if this were to take effect?
- The report also notes that “some of the Federal Reserve’s largest cash customers have indicated that they are considering whether to continue providing the Presidential \$1 Coin to customers.” Have any such entities followed through on this decision? Would production levels of Presidential \$1 Coin change if they did? And, if they stopped providing them, would their customers still be able to obtain the dollar coins?

As members of the Committee on Banking, Housing, and Urban Affairs, we are committed to eliminating unnecessary waste of taxpayer resources while ensuring that our nation’s coinage is produced, issued, and circulated efficiently to satisfy consumer demand and serve the public interest. We look forward to working with you to examine improvements to this program while fully protecting taxpayers. Thank you for your prompt attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

  
Senator Tim Johnson

  
Senator Jack Reed

  
Senator Jon Tester